

From: Tim Eyman [mailto:tim_eyman@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 7:20 PM

To: 'Tim Eyman'

Subject: FW: End the dirty tricks campaign

From: Tim Eyman, Nick Sherwood, Alex Rion, & Ty Balascio

It is absolutely clear to everyone by now that the Mayor and City Council have been trying to undermine our progress from the beginning. Your obstruction has now been fully exposed. You installed a pro-camera former Councilman, paid political consultant, and apologist for the pro-camera Mayor and pro-camera City Council on our anti-camera committee. Why? It appears to have been an attempt to sabotage our efforts and control the outcome of an election. It is imperative that the Mayor and City Council stop playing politics and immediately remove Chad Minnick from the No on Prop 3 committee.

You've already stalled the process long enough -- it is past time for the Mayor and City Council to end the dirty tricks campaign.

This has been a sordid and completely preventable controversy (including your refusal to follow the law - RCW 35.17.260 - and simply put Monroe Initiative #1 on the November ballot).

Barring any further interference and obstruction by Chad Minnick and the Mayor and City Council, Veronica and Tim, the two members of the committee who are genuine, authentic anti-camera activists, will work together to draft the NO on Prop 3 argument and submit it by the Tuesday deadline.

Dropping the 'cc' line to keep the noise down -- the plan is for the 2 remaining committee members to see this through -- the four of us will take your silence as acknowledgement of this understanding.

Regards, Tim Eyman, Nick Sherwood, Alex Rion, & Ty Balascio

From: Chad Minnick [mailto:minnickandminnick@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 4:01 PM

To: Tim Eyman; 'Chad Minnick'; councilmembers@ci.monroe.wa.us; 'Veronica Rood'

Cc: 'Ty Balascio'; 'Alex Rion'; 'Nick Sherwood'

Subject: RE: Monroe Prop 3: The 4 of us are not confused

I cannot compete with this, Councilmembers. I learned years ago that you will never win a fair argument against a person not bound by the rules of honesty and morality.

If one party is always willing to lower a disagreement to depths I am unwilling to sink, I cannot win the debate.

Like the old timer said, when you get into the mud and wrestle a pig, you only get dirty but the pig enjoys it.

Chad Minnick

From: Tim Eyman

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 3:01 PM

To: 'Chad Minnick'; councilmembers@ci.monroe.wa.us; 'Veronica Rood'

Cc: 'Ty Balascio'; 'Alex Rion'; 'Nick Sherwood'; 'Tim Eyman'

Subject: Monroe Prop 3: The 4 of us are not confused

From: Tim Eyman, Nick Sherwood, Alex Rion, and Ty Balascio

The 4 co-sponsors of the initiative and members of each organization, *especially Seeds of Liberty*, felt it was critical to expose the Mayor and City Council's attempt to sabotage our committee from within. Every one of us find the selection of Chad Minnick, a member of the City Council when they voted unanimously to bring ticketing cameras to Monroe, to the anti-camera committee to be a transparent attempt to undermine our efforts. We thought and still do that it's critical to inform the voters of the bad faith displayed by the Mayor and City Council on this issue. We find your subversive efforts petty and juvenile. How unconfident you must be in Monroe citizens' support for ticketing cameras that you have opted to cheat at every turn.

The attached argument is the one that the people *who actually oppose the ticketing cameras* want in the voters pamphlet. We can work with anyone, including Veronica Rood, who actually opposes the cameras to improve the argument and make it better. However, we cannot and will not be able to work with a subversive plant for the Mayor and City Council whose conflicts-of-interest and ethical problems on this issue are legion.

The 4 of us are not confused at all. What you have attempted to do is crystal clear. We will submit our agreed-upon argument to the County Auditor by the deadline and it will include information on the city's lawsuit, the bad faith of the Mayor and City Council, the laundry list of reasons why ticketing cameras should be rejected, and the fact that there are several ways for the city to get out of the contract early without violating the contract.

Since November, Chad Minnick has ridiculed our efforts, belittled our people, and religiously defended the Mayor and Council. He not only didn't sign the petition but characterized the issue of challenging ticketing cameras as "silly." When he was asked in July to advise his clients the Mayor and City Council to reverse course on their sue-the-voters kamikaze mission, he responded with ad hominem attacks.

What were you thinking when you named a pro-camera former Councilman to the anti-camera committee?

The only way the Mayor and City Council can remedy this embarrassing controversy and attempt to restore integrity to this already compromised process is to remove your hand-picked political crony from the committee. Short of that, you will simply perpetuate the people's lack of faith in you and the process.

Regards, Tim Eyman, Nick Sherwood, Alex Rion, and Ty Balascio

From: minnickandminnick@hotmail.com [mailto:minnickandminnick@hotmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Chad Minnick

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 12:51 PM

To: councilmembers@ci.monroe.wa.us; Veronica Rood; Tim Eyman

Subject: Monroe Prop 3: I am confused

Councilmembers,

I volunteered to be on the committee to write a NO statement for the voter guide for Monroe Proposition 3. I oppose the Proposition and I think we have solid arguments as to why voters should vote NO.

But with Mr. Eyman's appointment to the committee as well, and his subsequent attacks on me, I am unsure as to what I am supposed to do. I wish to work collaboratively with a three-person committee on a winning statement.

But Mr. Eyman's four-person committee already submitted a statement. Is that the statement that will appear in the voter guide? I have not been officially contacted by the Auditor's office, but I received a notice from the city saying that the committee consisted of myself, Veronica Rood and Tim Eyman. Has this changed? If Mr. Eyman has submitted his statement to the Auditor's office will they accept it? I'm very confused and seeking some direction.

I cannot support the lies and half-truths, nor the violently argumentative attitude, in the statement submitted by Eyman and his other three people. We have solid arguments that I believe will win a NO vote in November, but I am not willing to be untruthful to win a vote. I also do not believe that the BanCams.com group should use the voter guide to advertise themselves...especially since this is not the initiative they sponsored. This is a different Proposition entirely.

I do not wish to be associated with Mr. Eyman's statement. I also do not wish for my personality to be a distraction. Currently we do not have a functional committee because Mr. Eyman has submitted his own statement and wants no part of working with the committee.

Does the Council wish Veronica Rood and me to step back and let Mr. Eyman and his group proceed? Is Mr. Eyman going to be removed from the committee since he will not collaborate?

Some direction would be helpful.

Thanks,

Chad Minnick

Chad@MinnickandMinnick.com

[360-794-0255](tel:360-794-0255) office

[425-327-3602](tel:425-327-3602) cell